asked when a report from the Mokelumne River Task Force would be presented to Council. She noted that a written report from the County had been received and one of the recommendations involved boating in Lodi Lake.

City Manager Flynn replied that the Mokelumne River Task Force was a committee formed by the County. The City merely offered names of individuals from Lodi who were willing to serve on the committee.

Council Member Hitchcock felt that a presentation before the Council would be appropriate because the recommendations impact Lodi Lake and many members of the community have expressed concerns.

City Manager Flynn stated that staff would arrange for a presentation.

Mayor Nakanishi pointed out that the Mokelumne River is under the jurisdiction of San Joaquin County and the Coast Guard.

Council Member Hitchcock recalled that Bob Johnson previously reminded the Council that a prior committee had made a recommendation to limit water craft speed on the river to 5 mph. She also stated it was her understanding that two past task force committees, as well as the Parks and Recreation Department, have studied this issue and made recommendations to the Council. Ms. Hitchcock reiterated her desire to have a presentation from the County on the Mokelumne River Task Force recommendations to provide an opportunity for Council comment and input.

Mayor Pro Tempore Pennino emphasized the importance of clarifying on the agenda that Council would be looking at the issue from an advisory standpoint, so as not to mislead the public into thinking that they have jurisdictional authority.

 Mayor Pro Tempore Pennino suggested that the Council encourage Caltrans to do the landscaping on Kettleman Lane.

Public Works Director Prima reported that staff has to go through an encroachment permit process and will approach Caltrans on the landscaping. If a problem arises they will report back to Council.

- <u>→</u>.
- Mayor Nakanishi reported that today he attended the Local Area Formation Commission (LAFCO) City Selection Committee meeting. He learned that AB2838 requires that LAFCO become independent which will result in shared costs to cities, county, and special districts. Lodi's share of the cost will be \$13,000. He noted that Keith Land was appointed on LAFCO as an alternate member.
- City Manager Flynn made the following announcements:
 - 1) Richard Prima and Carlos Tobar were recognized by Lodi Unified School District for their efforts in raising \$1.6 million for the purchase of 14 school buses and a fueling station.
 - 2) This weekend a crab feed will be held as a fundraiser for the Boys and Girls Club.
 - 3) In October the City received the San Joaquin County Mayors Committee Employer of the Month award for its support of disabled persons programs.
- L. CLOSED SESSION None

agencies affected by those determinations.

SEC. 70. Section 56375.45 of the Government Code is repealed.

SEC. 71. Section 56377 of the Government Code is amended to read:

56377. In reviewing and approving or disapproving proposals which could reasonably be expected to induce, facilitate, or lead to the conversion of existing open-space lands to uses other than open-space uses, the commission shall consider all of the following policies and priorities:

- (a) Development or use of land for other than open-space uses shall be guided away from existing prime agricultural lands in open-space use toward areas containing nonprime agricultural lands, unless that action would not promote the planned, orderly, efficient development of an area.
- (b) Development of existing vacant or nonprime agricultural lands for urban uses within the existing jurisdiction of a local agency or within the sphere of influence of a local agency should be encouraged before any proposal is approved which would allow for or lead to the development of existing open-space lands for non-open-space uses which are outside of the existing jurisdiction of the local agency or outside of the existing sphere of influence of the local agency.

SEC. 72. Section 56380 of the Government Code is repealed.

SEC. 73. Section 56380 is added to the Government Code, to read: 56380. The commission shall make its own provision for necessary quarters, equipment, and supplies as well as personnel. The commission may choose to contract with any public agency or private party for personnel and facilities.

SEC. 74. Section 56381 of the Government Code is repealed.

SEC. 75. Section 56381 is added to the Government Code, to read: 56381. (a) The commission shall adopt annually, following noticed public hearings, a proposed budget by May 1 and final budget by June 15. At a minimum, the proposed and final budget shall be equal to the budget adopted for the previous fiscal year unless the commission finds that reduced staffing or program costs will nevertheless allow the commission to fulfill the purposes and programs of this chapter.

The commission shall transmit its proposed and final budgets to the board of supervisors; to each city; to the clerk and chair of the city selection committee, if any, established in each county pursuant to Article 11 (commencing with Section 50270) of Chapter 1 of Part 1 of Division 1; to each independent special district; and to the clerk and chair of the independent special district selection committee, if any, established pursuant to Section 56332.

(b) After public hearings, consideration of comments, and adoption of a final budget by the commission pursuant to subdivision (a), the auditor shall apportion the net operating expenses of a commission in the following manner:

(1) In counties in which there is city and independent special district representation on the commission, the county, cities, and independent special districts shall each provide a one-third share of the commission's operational costs. The cities' share shall be apportioned in proportion to each city's total revenues, as reported in the most recent edition of the Cities Annual Report published by the Controller, as a percentage of the combined city revenues within a county, or by an alternative method approved by a majority of cities representing the majority of the combined cities' populations.

The independent special districts' share shall be apportioned in a similar manner according to each district's revenues for general purpose transactions, as reported in the most recent edition of the "Financial Transactions Concerning Special Districts" published by the Controller, or by an alternative method approved by a majority of the agencies, representing a majority of their combined populations.

For the purposes of fulfilling the requirement of this section, a multicounty independent special district shall be required to pay its

(1) Cata (3) Ceta (3) Ceta (3) Ceta (3) Ceta (3) Ceta

140,00

LEBRUR OF CILY & PERCECULIA.

apportionment in its principal county. It is the intent of the Legislature that no single district or class or type of district shall bear a disproportionate amount of the district share of costs.

- (2) In counties in which there is no independent special district representation on the commission, the county and its cities shall each provide a one-half share of the commission's operational costs. The cities' share shall be apportioned in the manner described in paragraph (1).
- (3) In counties in which there are no cities, the county and its special districts shall each provide a one-half share of the commission's operational costs. The independent special districts' share shall be apportioned in the manner described for cities' apportionment in paragraph (1). If there is no independent special district representation on the commission, the county shall pay all of the commission's operational costs.
- (4) Instead of determining apportionment pursuant to paragraph (1), (2), or (3), any alternative method of apportionment of the net operating expenses of the commission may be used if approved by a majority vote of each of the following: the board of supervisors; a majority of the cities representing a majority of the total population of cities in the county; and the independent special districts representing a majority of the combined total population of independent special districts in the county.
- (c) After apportioning the costs as required in subdivision (b), the auditor shall request payment from the board of supervisors and from each city and each independent special district no later than July 1 of each year for the amount that entity owes and the actual administrative costs incurred by the auditor in apportioning costs and requesting payment from each entity. If the county, a city, or an independent special district does not remit its required payment within 60 days, the commission may determine an appropriate method of collecting the required payment, including a request to the auditor to collect an equivalent amount from the property tax, or any fee or eligible revenue owed to the county, city, or district. The auditor shall provide written notice to the county, city, or district prior to appropriating a share of the property tax or other revenue to the commission for

the payment due the commission pursuant to this section. Any expenses incurred by the commission or the auditor in collecting late payments or successfully challenging nonpayment shall be added to the payment owed to the commission. Between the beginning of the fiscal year and the time the auditor receives payment from each affected city and district, the board of supervisors shall transmit funds to the commission sufficient to cover the first two months of the commission's operating expenses as specified by the commission. When the city and district payments are received by the commission, the county's portion of the commission's annual operating expenses shall be credited with funds already received from the county. If, at the end of the fiscal year, the commission has funds in excess of what it needs, the commission may retain those funds and calculate them into the following fiscal year's budget. If, during the fiscal year, the commission is without adequate funds to operate, the board of supervisors may loan the commission funds and recover those funds in the commission's budget for the following fiscal year.

SEC. 75.5. Section 56381.6 is added to the Government Code, to read:

56381.6. (a) Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 56381, for counties whose membership on the commission is established pursuant to Sections 56326, 56326.5, 56327, or 56328, the commission's annual operational costs shall be apportioned among the classes of public agencies that select members on the commission in proportion to the number of members selected by each class. The classes of public file://A:\AB2838-Final.htm

Common of Common

John Brong

City Population and Budget Figures

City	COG Percentage	DOF Percentage	Budget Percentage
Escalon	5,778 1.3	5,825 1.3	
Lathrop	9,974 2.3	9,975 2.3	
Lodi	56,789 13.1	57,900 🚄 13.3	
Manteca	49,306 11.4	49,500 11.4	~ /
Ripon	10,315 2.4	10,400 2.4	. and /
Stockton	250,576 57.7	247,300 56.8	\sqrt{a}
Tracy	51,631 11.9	54,200 12.5	
Total	434,369 100.1	435,100 100.0	

Market Market Son

The sound with the sound of the

Bor

SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY CITY SELECTION COMMITTEE

COURTHOUSE, 222 E. WEBER AVENUE, #701, STOCKTON, CA 95202

AGENDA

SPECIAL MEETING WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 17, 2001 - 2:00 P.M

2:00 P.M. - MEETING CALLED TO ORDER BY CHAIRMAN BILBREY.

ROLL CALL

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF MAY 5, 1999.

NEW BUSINESS:

SELECTION OF CITY ALTERNATE MEMBER TO THE LOCAL 1) AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION - CONSIDERATION TO APPOINT A CITY ALTERNATE AS NOMINATED BY THE LODI CITY COUNCIL.

2) // CITY ALLOCATION FOR LAFCO FUNDING BEGINNING JULY 1 2001 - DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE APPOINTMENT OF A COMMITTEE TO ADDRESS THE NEW REQUIREMENT UNDER ASSEMBLY BILL 2838 FOR CITIES TO FUND ONE-THIRD OF LAFCO.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

ADJOURNMENT OF MEETINGAL

CITY SELECTION COMMITTEE MEETING WEDNESDAY, MAY 5, 1999 - 2:00 P.M. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' CHAMBERS, 7TH FLOOR MINUTE SUMMARY

PRESENT: MAYORS ALVES, RESTUCCIA, MCKEE, PERRY, BILBREY, VICE-MAYOR NOMURA, & COUNCIL MEMBER NAKANISHI.

STAFF

PRESENT: MICHAEL MCGREW, ASSISTANT COUNTY COUNSEL; BRUCE BARACCO, LAFCO EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR; & CINDY DUBRUTZ, SECRETARY.

MICHAEL MCGREW GAVE A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF THE PURPOSE OF THE CITY SELECTION COMMITTEE.

1) ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN & VICE-CHAIRMAN FOR 1999:
MOTION TO NOMINATE MAYOR CARLON PERRY AS CHAIRMAN FOR
1999 - MCKEE/ALVES - UNAN. MOTION TO CLOSE NOMINATIONS MCKEE/ALVES - UNAN.

MOTION TO NOMINATE MAYOR GARY PODESTO AS VICE-CHAIRMAN FOR 1999 - NOMURA/ALVES - UNAN. MOTION TO CLOSE NOMINATIONS - MCKEE/NOMURA - UNAN.

- 2) APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETING OF JANUARY 26, 1998:
 MOTION TO APPROVE MCKEE/BILBREY 5, RESTUCCIA & PERRY ABSTAIN.
- 3) APPOINTMENT OF A TRACY CITY COUNCIL MEMBER AS A REGULAR LAFCO CITY MEMBER FOR A 4-YEAR TERM:
 BRUCE BARACCO BRIEFED THE COMMITTEE ON THIS MATTER.
 MOTION TO APPOINT MAYOR DAN BILBREY MCKEE/NOMURA UNAN.
- 4) APPOINTMENT OF A LODI CITY COUNCIL MEMBER AS AN ALTERNATE LAFCO CITY MEMBER FOR A 4-YEAR TERM:
 BRUCE BARACCO BRIEFED THE COMMITTEE ON THIS MATTER.
 MOTION TO APPOINT MAYOR PRO TEMPORE STEPHEN MANN RESTUCCIA/BILBREY UNAN.

- 5) REVIEW OF THE LAFCO CITY ROTATION POLICY:
 BRUCE BARACCO BRIEFED THE COMMITTEE ON THE CITY ROTATION
 POLICY FOR LAFCO. A LETTER FROM CITY OF STOCKTON MAYOR
 GARY PODESTO WAS READ INTO THE RECORD. A BRIEF DISCUSSION
 WAS HELD AMONG COMMITTEE MEMBERS. MAYOR STEVEN MCKEE
 GAVE A HISTORY TO NEW MEMBERS ON THE LAFCO ROTATION
 PROCESS AS DEVELOPED IN 1991.
 NO ACTION WAS TAKEN THIS DATE ROTATION REMAINS STATUS
 OUO.
- 6) PUBLIC COMMENT: NONE.
- 7) THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS TO COME BEFORE THE COMMITTEE, THE MEETING WAS ADJOURNED AT THE HOUR OF 2:25 P.M.